

Chemical Insights into Ginseng as a Resource for Natural Antioxidants

Zai-Qun Liu

Department of Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130021, China.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

Ginseng is the root of Panax ginseng C. A. Meyer mainly produced in China, Korea, and America. The first book recording ginseng is Shennongbencaojing about 2000 years ago.¹ The storage conditions and the amount of dietary intake of gin[s](#page-23-0)eng are recored in the US pharmacopoeia.² Recent studies reveal that ginseng has some novel pharmacological effects on hypodynamia, anorexia, shortness of brea[th](#page-23-0), palpitations, insomnia, impotence, hemorrhage, and diabetes. 3 The total extract from ginseng modulates calcium channels in pain and opioid-i[n](#page-23-0)duced antinociception 4 and reduces brain polyamine levels in experimental animals.⁵ Ginseng predominantly enhances cognitive performan[ce](#page-23-0) and memory by modulating cerebroelectrical ac[ti](#page-23-0)vity.⁶ The active components in ginseng consist of ginsenosides, polyphenols, amino acids, and polysaccharides. The chemistry, biosynthesis, analysis, and tonic effects of ginsenosides were reviewed recently, and anticarcinogenic, immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory, antiallergic, antiatherosclerotic, antihypertensive, antidiabetic, antistress, and anticancer activities of ginseng are due to the action of ginsenosides.⁷

Environmental pollution deteriorates the living surroundings and changes t[he](#page-23-0) redox status of healthy bodies, 8 leading to aging and vital diseases consequently.⁹ Maintaining health by dietary intake is more important than treating disea[s](#page-23-0)es by drugs. The supplementation of natural anti[ox](#page-23-0)idants is regarded as a prophylactic strategy toward diseases caused by oxidative stress.^{10,11} Much work focuses on the phytochemistry of natural antioxidants such as polyphenolics,¹² phenylpropanoids, 13 13 13 [an](#page-23-0)d other active components, 14,15 in which phenolics attract much research attention because the p[he](#page-23-0)nolic hydroxyl group [is](#page-23-0) able to suppress reactive oxy[gen](#page-23-0) species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS). On the other hand, as the major active component in ginseng, ginsenoside is a dammarane- or oleanane-type tetracyclic triterpenoid sapogenin linked by sugar moieties. The antioxidant action of ginseng is an attractive research field that provides much information for dietary supplementation and pharmacological usage of ginseng products.

The pharmacological action of ginseng is related to the abilities of ginsenosides to regulate enzyme expression;¹⁶ thus, many more individual ginsenosides are needed for comparing pharmacological activity and for exploring the str[uct](#page-23-0)ure− activity relationships. Chemical techniques play an important role in isolating and synthesizing various ginsenosides. As shown in Figure 1, the aim of this review is to summarize chemical aspects of ginsenosides and to introduce some in vitro results on the an[ti](#page-1-0)oxidant actions of ginsenosides. The first aspect is that appropriate cultivation can enrich the amount of ginsenosides during the growth of ginseng. Hence, the influence of the cultivation conditions and additives on the accumulation of ginsenosides should be taken into consideration. The second aspect is how to extract ginsenosides from ginseng. Innovations in separation techniques are beneficial for obtaining much more of a single ginsenoside and can be employed in the isolation of ginsenoside-like compounds from other plants. The third aspect is to identify the structure of ginsenoside by mass spectra (MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra. MS can be used to confirm the kind of sugar moiety, while NMR gives information on the linkage position of the sugar moiety. The fourth aspect is to synthesize

Received: June 7, 2010 Published: February 22, 2012

Figure 1. The organization of this review.

ginsenosides via enzyme-promoted reactions or organic reactions. Especially, the sugar moiety in a ginsenoside can change its position in the presence of a special enzyme; thus, one kind of ginsenoside converts into another one via enzymecatalyzed reaction. Finally, although a large number of research proves that ginsenoside exhibits many pharmacological activities, the mechanism is still not very clear because only a few single ginsenosides are used in the same experimental system, and it is difficult to clarify the influence of the sugar moiety at different positions on pharmacological actions. Therefore, in the research on pharmacological mechanisms of ginsenosides, it seems not necessary to develop much more experimental systems, but enough types of single ginsenosides should be screened in the same experimental system. As a result, much more information on the structure−activity relationship can be obtained. In this review, some in vitro experimental systems are introduced because these experimental systems can be set up conveniently in an organic laboratory. Thus, the activity of the obtained antioxidants can be evaluated by using biological species. On the other hand, the activities of some single ginsenosides have been evaluated in these experimental systems. If many more single ginsenosides are used in these experimental systems, some general principles of ginsenoside activity against oxidative damage may be clarified.

2. INFLUENCES OF THE CULTIVATION CONDITIONS ON THE VALID COMPONENTS IN GINSENG

2.1. Structures of Representative Ginsenosides

Ginsenosides are various saponins with (20S)-protopanaxadiol (PD) or (20S)-protopanaxatriol (PT) being sapogenins. Table 1 collects some typical structures of PD- and PT-type ginsenosides. The sugar moieties attach to the 6- and 20 [p](#page-2-0)ositions in PT-type ginsenosides and the 3- and 20-positions in PD-type ginsenosides. The differences of the position and kind of sugar moieties lead to various bioactivities of ginsenosides.

2.2. Comparison of Ginsenoside Contents under Different Growth Co[ndi](#page-23-0)tions

The wild ginsengs are generally harvested after 8 or more years. The pharmacological activity of wild ginseng is higher than that of the cultivated ones because a large amount of ginsenosides is accumulated during the long-term growth period. However, short growth periods and growing location significantly affect the ginsenoside contents. For example, the contents of ginsenoside in American ginseng (Panax quinquefolium) are

Rb1 > Rg1 > Re when wild and two-year-old ginsengs are transplanted from population surrounding to forest garden. The population surrounding affects the content of Re markedly, followed by Rb1, Rc, and Rb2. Both population surrounding and growing location attenuate the contents of Rg1 and Rd.¹¹ Furthermore, the light levels in the understory of a broadleaf forest also affect the contents of Rg1, Re, Rb1, Rc, Rb2, and [Rd](#page-23-0) in one- and two-year-old American ginseng. The red and infrared lights increase Rd, Rc, and Rg1 40% in two-year-old ginseng.¹⁹ The geographic location affects the contents of ginsenosides as well. The investigation of Panax notoginseng cultivat[ed](#page-23-0) in Yunnan Province, China, indicates that the contents of R1, Rg1, Rb1, and Rd in Panax notoginseng produced in the southwest of the Province and harvested in autumn are higher than those from plants produced in other areas and harvested in other seasons.²⁰ The genetic sequences of Panax notoginseng and ratios of Rd/Rg1, Re/Rg1, and Rb1/ Rg1 are quite different even thou[gh](#page-23-0) Panax notoginseng are harvested from the same farm in different years. The cultivation conditions markedly influence the quality of ginseng. 21

2.3. Influ[en](#page-23-0)ce of $CO₂$ and Jasmonic Acid on Ginsenoside **Contents**

Some chemicals, such as $CO₂$ and jasmonic acid (structure shown in Figure 2), can increase the contents of ginsenosides and other antioxidants. The addition of jasmonic acid markedly increases ginsen[osi](#page-2-0)de content in a flask-type bioreactor and does not influence the weight and growth rate of ginsengs. 22 The treatment of Panax notoginseng with 2-hydroxyethyl jasmonate in a bioreactor increases the activities of prot[o](#page-23-0)panaxdiol 6-hydroxylase and Rd glucosyltransferase and changes the ratios of Rb/Rg and Rb1/Rd. Hence, jasmonic acid is able to increase ginsenoside contents.²³

The treatment of Panax ginseng with different concentrations of $CO₂$ in a bioreactor increases the cont[ent](#page-23-0)s of phenolics, flavonoids, and proteins and the activity of the enzyme for the biosynthesis of phenolics. This is because $CO₂$ activates the pentose phosphate pathway and the shikimate/phenylpropanoid pathway to enrich phenolics and ginsenosides in Panax ginseng.²⁴ Moreover, $CO₂$ can induce the generation of ascorbate peroxidase, monodehydroascorbate reductase, glutathione [re](#page-23-0)ductase, catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase (SOD) and can enhance the activities of glutathione-S-transferase and glutathione peroxidase in ginseng.²⁵ Therefore, the cultivation conditions and the application of chemicals directly affect the contents and kinds of th[e a](#page-23-0)ctive components in ginseng.

3. ISOLATION OF THE ANTIOXIDANT COMPONENTS FROM GINSENG

The activities of total extracts from ginseng roots, flowers, stems, and leaves are compared in order to find which part contains a large amount of active components. For example, the activities of antioxidants and quinone reductase of American, Asian, and Siberian ginseng are compared, and American and Asian ginsengs are found to reduce HOCl efficiently, while Siberian ginseng can quench ONOO[−] rapidly.²⁶ However, it is difficult to confirm which component mostly contributes to the bioactivity in the case of total extracts employe[d.](#page-23-0) Therefore, it is necessary to apply suitable solvents and novel techniques for isolating various individual ingredients from ginseng. Although the root of Panax ginseng C. A. Meyer is defined as ginseng, the method of isolating ginsenosides from ginseng is also available

Table 1. Structures of Some Typical Ginsenosides

Figure 2. The structure of jasmonic acid.

for separating ginsenoside-related saponins from Panax notoginseng, Panax quinquefolius, and Panax japonicus. Hence, the following methods correlate with the extraction of dammarane- and oleanane-type tetracyclic triterpenoid saponins from ginseng-related plants.

3.1. Solvents

Ginsenosides are fixed in ginseng cells that can be destroyed in refluxing methanol within a few hours or in cool methanol for a long period. After methanol is evaporated under vacuum, the crude extract is dissolved in water, and the water phase is washed by nonpolar organic solvents to remove fatty acid. Then, water-saturated n-butanol and the mixture of chloroform

Floralginsenoside Ka

Floralginsenoside Kb, $R = H$ Floralginsenoside Kc, $R = OH$

Notoginsenoside O $(CH_3OH:H_2O = 80:20, v:v)$

носн

Notoginsenoside P

 $(CH_3OH:H_2O = 80:20, v:v)$

Notoginsenoside Q

Notoginsenoside S

 $(CH_3OH:1\%CH_3COOH$ aqueous = 65:35, v:v)

Figure 4. Structures of saponins with polysaccharide moieties.

and methanol are used to isolate individual ginsenosides. Figure 3 outlines a typical process to separate saponins from flower buds of Panax notoginseng. A reverse phase (RP) chromatography column (CC) and a mixture of methanol and water are applied to isolate saponins with polysaccharide moieties as shown in Figure 4.^{27,28}

Water, methanol, and ethanol are applied to extract freezedried leaves of wild [gins](#page-23-0)eng. The obtained mixture can trap 2,2-

Figure 6. Structures of F4, Rg5, Rk1, and Rp1.

diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH) and hydroxyl radical (• OH) and can chelate ferrous ion. The ethanol extract shows the highest radical-scavenging and $Fe²⁺$ -chelating activities, while aqueous extract has the highest activity to trap superoxide radical. Flavonoid, quercetin, and kaempferol are generated when the extracts are deglycosylated under acidic conditions.²⁹ Therefore, a solvent with high polarity is appropriate for extracting phenolics from ginseng. Although 65% glyce[rin](#page-23-0) aqueous solution can also extract saponins from Panax quinquefolius, the amount of the obtained saponins is obviously lower than when 50% ethanol aqueous solution is the solvent because ethanol can release ginsenosides effectively by destroying ginseng cells, while glycerin cannot destroy ginseng cells very well.³⁰ Water-saturated *n*-butanol is able to isolate pseudoginsenosides as shown in Figure 5.31 Compound F11, a representative [p](#page-23-0)seudoginsenoside isolated from American ginseng, includes a tetrahydrofuran ring [at](#page-23-0) the 20-position of the sapogenin instead of a carbon chain.

Steam distillation is another useful way to destroy ginseng cells. The contents of ginsenosides are measured when American ginseng is steamed at 100−120 °C for 1 h or at 120 °C for 0.5−4 h. Consequently, the contents of Rg1, Re, Rb1, Rc, Rb2, Rb3, and Rd decrease, while the contents of Rh1, Rg2, Rg3, and Rh2 increase after steam distillation. In particular, the amount of Rg3 reaches a maximum value when ginseng is steamed at 120 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ for 2 h.³² A large amount of Rg3 and Rh2 is detected in the extract from boiling water rather than from 80% ethanol aqueous solution [at r](#page-23-0)oom temperature. So, Rg3 and Rh2 are regarded as the heating products in the process of the extraction.³³ Heating may also lead to the

dehydrolyzation of hydroxyl group at 20-position, producing F4, Rg5, Rk1, and Rp1 (structures shown in Figure 6).

The abundant contents of Rg3, Rg5, and F4 enhance abilities of the corresponding ginseng extract to relax endothelium and to trap 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical $(DPPH)$,³⁴ while the content of arginine, the major amino acid in ginseng, decreases from 10.4 to 1.38 mg/g after steam distilla[tio](#page-23-0)n. On the other hand, steam distillation removes 92.9% of $β$ -N-oxalyl- $L-\alpha$, β -diaminopropionic acid that can cause crippling neurolathyrism.³⁵ Glutamine and arginine, two major free proteinogenic amino acids in ginseng, can react with carbonyl groups in sugar mo[iet](#page-23-0)ies to form Maillard reaction products (MRPs) with antioxidant activities.³⁶ The investigation on bioactivities of ginsenosides is important for the clinic usage of ginseng-related herbs 37 and therefore [re](#page-23-0)quires much more advanced techniques to be used in the separation of natural-occurring saponins from ginse[ng](#page-23-0).

3.2. Microwave Irradiation

Using microwave irradiation shortens the heating period in the extraction of ginsenosides. In a microwave oven, ginseng powders mixed with 10-fold volume of 80% methanol aqueous solution are irradiated by 300 W microwave four times, each time being less than 30 s. The same amount of ginseng powder extracted in boiling methanol for 12 h provides a similar amount of the obtained ginsenosides to that from microwave irradiation. So, the microwave irradiation cannot cause the decomposition of ginsenosides.³⁸ With a condenser equipped to avoid the evaporation of the solvent, the extraction period may increase to 5 min each ti[me,](#page-23-0) and the power of microwave irradiation increases to 700 W. Figure 7 illustrates the structures

Figure 7. Structures of acetyl-astragalosides extracted from Radix astragali under microwave irradiation.

of astragalosides extracted from Radix astragali under microwave irradiation.³⁹ The microwave irradiation is still a thermal extraction method and is thereby not suitable for the extraction of heat-sensitive [gi](#page-23-0)nsenosides.

3.3. Sonication

Using ultrasonic vibration to extract ginsenosides avoids high temperature, and the efficiency is three times higher than the refluxing method.⁴⁰ The solvents and sonication conditions are screened in the extraction of Rb1, Rb2, Rc, Rd, Re, and Rg1 from American [g](#page-23-0)inseng. The amount of the obtained ginsenosides in 70% methanol aqueous solution under sonication is higher than that in methanol at room temperature. Especially, it is found that Rd is the most sensitive to heating, followed by Rc and Rb1, while Rb2, Rg1, and Re are inert to heating.41 Therefore, the application of ultrasonic vibration avoids the decomposition of thermal-sensitive ginsenosides in the pro[ces](#page-23-0)s of the extraction.

3.4. Ultrahigh Pressure and Supercritical $CO₂$

An apparatus with ultrahigh pressure is applied to extract thermal-sensitive ginsenosides from American ginseng at room temperature by using water, ethanol, methanol, and n-butanol as solvents. It is found that 0.861% Rc can be extracted by ethanol within 2 min when the pressure ranges from 100 to 600 MPa.⁴² As shown in Figure 8, ultrahigh pressure can be obtained by mechanical press⁴³ or by boiling solvent in a sealed auto[cla](#page-23-0)ve.⁴

CO₂ can be liquified und[er](#page-23-0) 31 MPa at 35–60 °C, and this supercriti[cal](#page-23-0) state of $CO₂$ is usually used to extract natural compounds because liquid $CO₂$ possesses high diffusivity and low viscosity and surface tension. The liquid $CO₂$ can extract 73

and 108 mg of ginsenosides at 35 and 60 °C within 4 h. If the ginseng roots are immersed in ethanol for 6 h in advance and then extracted by liquid $CO₂$, the amount of the obtained ginsenosides increases to 800 and 1141 mg.⁴⁵ But the polarity of $CO₂$ is not high enough to dissolve all ginsenosides, ethanol is thereby applied to increase the polarity [of](#page-23-0) the supercritical $CO₂$ and as a result, much more ginsenosides (2028 mg) can be obtained. In addition, methanol and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) are usually used to modify the polarity of the liquid $CO₂$ with the pressure ranging from 20.7 to 48.3 MPa. More than 90% of ginsenosides can be extracted at 110 °C in the case of four-times weight of organic solvent employed. However, high temperature and organic solvent may change the structure of the ginsenosides. For example, mono-O-acetyl Rb1 is detected when DMSO is used to modify liquid $CO₂$. High temperature and pressure may decompose DMSO to form an acetyl source and subsequently lead to the acetylation product of Rb1.⁴⁶ Therefore, some efficient biological methods are developed to isolate single ginsenosides. For example, in immun[oaf](#page-23-0)finity column chromatography, the use of an antiginsenoside Rb1 monoclonal antibody can readily isolate Rb1 from total extract of ginseng roots⁴⁷ and from methanolic extract of Araliaceous species.⁴⁸ Thus, biological methods are powerful ways to isolate a certain gins[en](#page-23-0)oside.

4. IDENTIFICATION OF GINSENOSIDES

NMR is generally used to confirm the linkage position of sugar moieties on the sapogenin, and MS is applied to identify the kind of sugar moieties. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is used to measure the amount of ginsenoside.

4.1. NMR

¹H NMR signals of ginsenoside range from 3 to 6 ppm, in which hydrogen atoms of sapogenin and sugar moieties appear as overlapping and splitting peaks. So, it is difficult to assign which peak is derived from the hydrogen atom of sapogenin and which from that of the sugar moiety. Acetylation of hydroxyl groups in sugar moieties eliminates the corresponding ¹H signals, leading to assignment of the ¹H NMR signals of sapogenin conveniently.⁴⁹ The one-dimensional ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum cannot be applied to confirm the ginsenoside structure directly, but s[om](#page-23-0)e softwares can be employed to treat complicated ¹H NMR spectra. For example, Chenomx

Figure 8. Mechanical (A) and continuous (B) ultrahigh pressure extraction apparatus.

Figure 9. Long-range correlations among hydrogen atoms (acetylization of R6) and between carbon and hydrogen atoms (Rh5).

Figure 10. The fragmentation mode of Rc in the ion spray MS.

NMR Suite software (version 4.6, Chenomx Inc., Edmonton, Canada) contains baseline correction and automatic phasing algorithms, and SIMCA-P software (Umetrics, Kinnelon, NJ) provides unsupervised principal component analysis (PCA) and supervised partial least-squares discriminate analysis (PLS-DA) in analyzing ¹H NMR spectra. By use of the aforementioned softwares, some metabolites including coumarate, fumarate, glucose, and several amino acids are found. PCA and PLS-DA are reliable analytical methods to confirm the quality of commercial ginsengs.⁵⁰ On the other hand, ¹³C NMR signals of the sapogenin skeleton range from 15 to 70 ppm, while those of sugar moieties range [fro](#page-23-0)m 60 to 110 ppm. ¹³C NMR signals of the C= C in the sapogenin range from 120 to 130 ppm.⁵¹ So, it is easy to tell the 13 C NMR signals of sapogenin from those of sugar moieties. Futhermore, some novel NMR techniq[ues](#page-23-0) such as ¹H,¹H-COSY, ROESY, heteronuclear multiple bond spectroscopy (HMBC), total correlation spectroscopy (¹H,¹³C-TOCSY), and heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectroscopy (¹ H,13C-HSQC) reveal the long-range correlations among hydrogen atoms or between hydrogen and carbon atom from sapogenin and sugar moieties. As shown in Figure 9, twodimensional NMR spectra are applied to confirm the linkage position of sapogenin and sugar moieties.⁵²

4.2. MS

The complicated m/z detected by M[S](#page-23-0) cannot be readily assigned to a concrete fragment deriving from ginsenoside.

Advanced MS techniques, including electron impact MS, field desorption ionization MS, liquid secondary ionization MS, and liquid chromatography MS (LC/MS), are applied to identify the structure of ginsenoside. An ion spray (IS, nebulizer gasassisted electrospray) technique in MS gives protonated or deprotonated molecular anions of Rb1, Rb2, Rc, Rd, Re, Rf, and Rg1. The further fragment ions of these molecular cations or anions are analyzed by LC/MS/MS, and the kind of sugar moiety can be confirmed by m/z of the fragment ion. Figure 10 outlines a fragmentation mode of Rc in the ion spray MS.⁵³

Gas chromatography (GC) equipped with electron impact MS (EI/MS) and HPLC equipped with electrospray ioniza[tio](#page-23-0)n MS (ESI/MS) are applied to measure the molecular weights of ginsenosides ionized by H⁺, Li⁺, Na⁺, NH₄⁺, CH₃COO⁻, Co²⁺, Ni^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} , $54-56$ followed by the analysis of the kind of sugar moieties on the basis of m/z generated from the further fragmentation. [Moreo](#page-23-0)ver, the electrospray ionization multistage tandem MS gives further fragment ions from the cleavage of sugar moieties⁵⁷ and the sapogenin skeleton.⁵⁸ An energy gradient neutral loss scan mode in a triple-quadrupole MS/MS is better than [a f](#page-23-0)ixed-energy neutral loss scan [mod](#page-23-0)e, and thus, glucuronides and sapogenins can be simultaneously detected in the total extracts from medicinal herbs.⁵⁹

4.3. HPLC

An ODS C₁₈ liquid chromatographic co[lum](#page-23-0)n and an ultraviolet detector with the wavelength at 203 nm are general way to

Figure 11. Structures of falcarinol, panaxydol, and ginsenoside Ro.

analyze ginsenosides. Acetonitrile aqueous solution acts as the eluent with the volume ratio of acetonitrile increasing from 18% to 55% or from 21% to 42% by a gradient program. R1 has the shortest retention time, followed by Rg1, Re, Rf, Rb1, Rc, Rb2, Rb3, and Rd in this case. 60 So, the majority of ginsenosides can be isolated and detected under this condition. 61 Recently, studies on the analysis [of g](#page-23-0)insenosides by HPLC mainly focus on (1) the application of statistical methods [to](#page-23-0) treat the chromatographic peaks, (2) the selection of eluents to isolate ginsenoside epimers, (3) the variation of the wavelength to simultaneously detect more compounds in ginseng extracts, (4) the application of MS as the detector for HPLC, and (5) the development of biochemical methods to enrich a certain ginsenoside before HPLC analysis.

The principal component analysis (PCA) reveals the covariance and the correlation of ginsenoside distributions.⁶² The partial least-squares (PLS) analysis predicts the antioxidant activity of medicinal herbs from HPLC fingerprint peaks.^{[63](#page-23-0)} Meanwhile, the ratio of acetonitrile and water gradually increases from 20:80 to 95:5 and then decreases to 20:[80,](#page-23-0) resulting in a complete isolation of Rb1, Rb2, Rb3, Rc, Rd, Re, Rg1, Rg2, (20R)-Rg2, Rg3, Rh1, and Rh2 in an ODS C_{18} column.⁶⁴ An appropriate component in the eluent can even isolate epimers of a single ginsenoside. For example, an eluent contain[ing](#page-23-0) methanol and 4% aqueous solution of H_3PO_4 (65:35, v/v , pH = 5.1) is capable of isolating Rg2 into $(20R)$ -Rg2 and $(20S)$ -Rg2.⁶⁵ Furthermore, a photodiode array (PDA) UV detector simultaneously emits light with wavelength ranging from 200 to 600 n[m.](#page-23-0) The mixture of $CH₃OH$ and $H₂O$ ranging from 40:60 to 75:25 and 100:0 and then to 40:60 affords complete isolation of Rb1, Rb2, Rc, Rd, Re, Rg1, Ro, malonyl-Rb1, malonyl-Rc, and malonyl-Rd as well as falcarinol and panaxydol. As shown in Figure 11, the conjugative system in falcarinol and panaxydol needs long wavelength of UV in the detection, and PDA is capable of detecting these compounds simultaneously⁶⁶ and obtaining three-dimensional UV spectra. HPLC equipped with a fluorometric detector can carry out simultaneous [det](#page-23-0)ection of amino acids and saponins including baicalin, wogonin-7-O-glucuronide, liquiritin apioside, glycyrrhizin, saikosaponin b1 and b2, Rg1 and Rb1, 6-gingerol, 6 shogaol, and arginine in medicinal herbs.⁶⁷ HPLC equipped with a pulsed amperometric detector can detect nonpolar ginsenosides. The potential in the am[per](#page-23-0)ometric detector ranges from −0.2 to +0.22 V or from −2.0 to +0.6 V along with the variation of the concentration of acetonitrile in aqueous solution, leading to the isolation of Rg5, Rk1 (structures in Figure 6), and astragalosides (structures in Figure 7). 68

MS is the most sensitive detector of HPLC. In addition to the ele[ct](#page-4-0)ron impact MS and the electrospray ion[iz](#page-5-0)a[tio](#page-23-0)n MS, atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI), triple quadrupole, ion trap (IT), Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR), time-of-flight (TOF), and multistage MS are able to control the fragmentation modes and, thus, are widely employed to detect pharmacological⁶⁹ and proteomic components in ginseng,⁷⁰ the metabolites of ginsenosides,⁷¹ and the amounts and kinds of ginsenosid[es](#page-23-0) in drugs⁷² and beverages.⁷³ Also, gas [ch](#page-23-0)romatography equipped with [MS](#page-24-0) (GC/MS) can be used to determine phenolics in ginse[ng](#page-24-0) after the extra[cts](#page-24-0) are treated with trimethylsilyl chloride to form evaporative derivatives.⁷⁴

Ginsenosides can be detected by other methods. Immunoaffinity using an an[tig](#page-24-0)insenoside Rb1 monoclonal antibody can identify Rb1 specifically.⁷⁵ On a polyethersulfone membrane, the chromatographic immunostaining method is employed to determine Re wit[h](#page-24-0) $CH₃OH/H₂O/CH₃COOH$ (45:55:1, volume ratio) as the eluent.⁷⁶ The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and Western blotting method are beneficial for the qualitative and qu[an](#page-24-0)titative measurement of ginsenosides at trace level. The ELISA method decreases the detectable limitation of PT from 50 pg/mL to 20 ng/mL.⁷⁷ Finally, the aspects of analytical chemistry in the research of ginseng are summed up as shown in Figure 12.

Figure 12. A schematic summarization of the aspects of analytical chemistry in the research of ginseng.

5. GINSENOSIDE-RELATED REACTIONS

The biological activities of saponins in medicinal plants have been reviewed recently,⁷⁸ and the *in vitro* culture technology is a useful way to enrich active components artificially.⁷⁹ Meanwhile, organic sy[nth](#page-24-0)esis still plays an important role in the preparation and conversion of ginsenosides. In particul[ar,](#page-24-0)

Chemical Reviews Review

Figure 13. Proposed conversions of Re and Rb3 under acidic conditions.

Figure 14. Proposed conversions of Rb1 in rat metabolism.

Figure 15. Different ways to deglycosylate Rb1 to form F2 catalyzed by G1 and G2/3 enzymes.

Figure 16. β-Glucosidase isolated from Fusarium proliferatum ECU2042 to convert Rg3 into Rh2.

enzyme-promoted reaction is an efficient way to synthesize ginsenoside and helps us to understand the metabolism process of ginsenosides. The reactions on ginsenosides include deglycosylation, glycosylation, sugar moiety-related reactions, and the synthesis of sapogenin.

5.1. Deglycosylation

An *in vitro* gastro-intestinal tract model is used to investigate the metabolites of ginsenosides. As shown in Figure 13, the bioconversion of ginsenosides involves a series of deglycosylations.⁸⁰ The determination of [me](#page-8-0)tabolites of Rb1 formed by microbe and rat reveals that the in vivo conversion of Rb1 may follow [th](#page-24-0)e process as shown in Figure $14⁸¹$ The process shown in Figures 13 and 14 indicates that the sugar moieties at the 20 position are deglycosylated more rea[dil](#page-8-0)[y t](#page-24-0)han those at other positions, [an](#page-8-0)d th[e h](#page-8-0)ydroxyl group at the 20-position is also readily dehydrolyzed to form $C=C$. The hydroxyl group at the 3-position can be oxidized to form carbonyl group, whereas, the hydroxyl group at the 12-position is inert to oxidation and dehydrolyzation.

Some efforts are contributed to find a special enzyme for the deglycosylation at a certain position of ginsenoside. A β - glucosidase (G-II) isolated from the phytopathogenic fungus Cladosporium fulvum (syn. Fulvia fulva) can specifically cleave the glycoside linkage between two $β$ -glucose moieties at the 20position in Rb1 to form Rd (see the conversion from Rb1 to Rd in Figure 14) but cannot deglycosylate other β -D-glucosidic linkages in PD-type ginsenosides.⁸² Ginsenosidase type II isolated fr[om](#page-8-0) Aspergillus sp. g48p strain exhibits high selectivity to cleave the linkage between polys[acc](#page-24-0)haride at the 20-position in PD-type ginsenosides. This enzyme can only deglycosylate 20-O-β-glucoside in Rb1, 20-O-β-xyloside in Rb3, and 20-O- α arabinoside in Rb2 and Rc, forming Rd and a little of Rg3 eventually. However, this enzyme is not active toward other sugar moieties in Rb1, Rb2, Rb3, and Rc and cannot hydrolyze the sugar moieties at the 20-position of PT-type ginsenosides such as Re, Rf, and Rg1. Hence, ginsenosidase type II is a specific enzyme for removing the terminal sugar moiety at the 20-position of PD-type ginsenosides.⁸³ The deglycosylation routines of ginsenosides largely depend upon the kind of enzyme even though the structure of th[e e](#page-24-0)nzyme is not clear. In general, enzymes are characterized by the isolation procedure and molecular weight. For example, three enzymes named G1, G2, and G3 are isolated from ginseng pathogen Pythium

Figure 17. Naringinase deglycosylates disaccharides at the 3-position and terminal monosaccharide at the 20-position in Rb1.

Figure 18. Glycosylation of 12β-acetoxy-dammar-24-en-3β,20S-diol to prepare Rg3 and Rh2.

irregulare by the precipitation in acetone, the enrichment on polybuffer exchanger, the filtration on Sephacryl S-200 HR gel column, and the anion exchange on Q Sepharose chromatography column in turn. The analysis of molecular weight indicates that G1 is likely a homodimer of 78 kDa subunits, while G2 and G3 are two monomeric enzymes of 61 and 57 kDa, respectively. These enzymes can deglycosylate the terminal monosaccharide from disaccharides at the 3- or 20 position of Rb1, Rc, Rb2, and Rd to yield ginsenoside F2 as the final product.⁸⁴ Figure 15 outlines the pathways of Rb1 to form F2 catalyzed by G1 and G2/3 or the inverse order.⁸⁵ The G1 enzyme spec[ific](#page-24-0)ally d[egly](#page-9-0)cosylates the terminal sugar moiety from the 20-position, while the G2/3 enz[ym](#page-24-0)es just deglycosylate the terminal sugar moiety from the 3-position of PD-type ginsenoside.

A microbial strain GS514 isolated from soil around ginseng roots can convert Rb1 into Rg3 by deglycosylating disaccharide at the 20-position in Rb1 with Rd being the intermediate.⁸⁶ As shown in Figure 16, β -glucosidase isolated from Fusarium

proliferatum ECU2042 can deglycosylate the terminal glucose moiety at the 3-position in Rg3 to form Rh2.⁸⁷

As shown in Figure 17, naringinase deglycosylates disaccharides at the 3-position and the termin[al](#page-24-0) glucose moiety at the 20-position of Rb1 to form compound K ⁸⁸ A study on the cleavage of the glycosidic bond in Rg1, Rb1, and Ro indicates that glucoside at both 20- and 6-po[siti](#page-24-0)ons can be thoroughly deglycosylated by NaOH or $CH₃ONa$ in *n*-butanol under oxygen or air, but the deglycosylation does not occur when oxygen or air is replaced by nitrogen. Thus, the antioxidant effectiveness of ginsenosides may be ascribed to the cleavage of sugar moieties in the presence of oxygen.⁸⁹ In the presence of the intestinal bacteria, the deglycosylation of sugar moieties at the 3-, 6-, or 20-position is the first step i[n t](#page-24-0)he metabolism process of ginsenoside.

5.2. Glycosylation and Sugar Moiety-Related Reactions

The reaction between the hydroxyl group at the 3-position of dammarane-type sapogenin with acetylized glycosyl bromide

Figure 19. Chemical method to modify Rh1.

Figure 20. Lipase B from *Candida antarctica* shows higher regioselective activity.

Figure 21. UDP-glucose as the glucose donor in the glycosylation of Rg1.

affords $Rg3^{90}$ and $Rh2^{91}$ as shown in Figure 18. The hydroxyl group at the 12-position in sapogenin is acetylized to form 12βacetoxy-da[mm](#page-24-0)ar-24-en[-3](#page-24-0)β,20S-diol, which ca[n re](#page-10-0)act with hepta-O-acetyl-α-sophorosyl bromide or tetra-O-acetyl-α-D-glucopyr-

anosyl bromide to produce the precursor of Rg3 or Rh2. Then, Rg3 and Rh2 are generated after deacetylization. The reactivities of hepta-O-acetyl-α-sophorosyl bromide and tetra-

O-acetyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl bromide toward the hydroxyl

group at the 3-position are higher than that at the 20-position. As shown in Figure 19, octanoyl chloride can react with −CH2OH in glucose of Rh1 to form an ester because the reactivity of the primary [alc](#page-11-0)oholic group is higher than that of other types of hydroxyl groups. The monoester of Rh1 inhibits murine H22 hepatoma cells more efficiently than Rh1 itself because the long carbon chain is beneficial for monoester of Rh1 to transport into the cell membrane. 92 The esterification by fatty acid increases the lipophilicity of ginsenoside, resulting in good uptake in vivo.⁹³

In novozyme 435 lipase-catalyzed esterification of Rb1, an acyl reagent with a sh[ort](#page-24-0) carbon chain gives higher yield than one with a long carbon chain in tert-amyl alcohol. But low yield is obtained when the esterification takes place in the mixture of *t*-butanol and pyridine $(1:1, v/v)$. This enzyme cannot catalyze regioselective esterification of a specific hydroxyl group in Rb1, but the yield reaches 61% when vinyl decanoate reacts with Rb1 at 53 °C for 40 h in tert-amyl alcohol. Actually, the aforementioned synthesis condition is obtained by response surface methodology (RSM) analysis and, then, is proven by the experimental operation.⁹⁴ Although Rg1 has two -CH₂OH in glucose moieties, lipase B isolated from Candida antarctica shows high regioselective [a](#page-24-0)ctivity and only catalyzes the transesterification between $-CH_2OH$ in the glucose moiety at the 6-position and vinyl acetate in tert-amyl alcohol to produce monoester of Rg1. As shown in Figure 20, Rg1 reacts with bis(2,2,2-trichloroethyl) malonate in the presence of lipase B, followed by the reduction via $\text{Zn}/\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ to form 6'-Ocarboxyacetyl Rg1.⁹⁵

As shown in Figure 21, β -(1,4)-galactosyltransferase (GalT) isolated from bovi[ne](#page-24-0) colostrums can catalyze the glycosylation of 4-OH in the glucose [mo](#page-11-0)iety at the 6- and 20-positions in Rg1 when uridine diphosphate glucose (UDP-glucose) acts as the glucose donor. The 4-OH in the glucose moiety at the 6 position in F1, Rh1, and Re can also be glycosylated under the same experimental conditions.⁹⁶ Furthermore, as shown in Figure 22, Rd glucosyltransferase (UGRdGT) catalyzes Rd to produce Rb1 when UDP-gluco[se](#page-24-0) acts as the glucose donor. $\frac{9}{2}$ Therefore, the regioselection in the glycosylation of ginsenoside largely depends upon the kind of enzyme.

As shown in Figure 23, the glycosylation of oleanolic acid by using 4,6-di-O-acetyl-2-O-(2-O-2-(azidomethyl)benzoyl)-3-Obenzoyl-D-glucopyran[osyl](#page-13-0) trifluoroactetimidate (AABBG) produces Ro at the total yield of 28%.⁹⁸ This method may be employed in the glycosylation of other sapogenins.

As shown in Figure 24, the immunostaining technique enhances the sensitivity and specificity in the analysis of ginsenoside by thin layer [chr](#page-14-0)omatography (TLC).¹⁰⁰ The TLC plate is made of polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) with bovine serum albumin (BSA) adhereing on the surface. [The](#page-24-0) oxidation of NaIO4 breaks the C−C bond in glucose moiety to form aldehyde groups that can condense with $-NH_2$ in BSA. As a result, the ginsenoside is fixed on the surface of PVDF. Then, the sapogenin part in the ginsenosides is immunostained by antiginsenoside Rb1 or antiginsenoside Rg1 monoclonal antibodies (MAbs).⁹⁹ The cleavage of C−C in sugar moieties under $NaIO₄$ is the key step although it cannot be confirmed which C−C bond i[s b](#page-24-0)roken in the glucose moieties.

5.3. Sapogenin-Related Reactions

As shown in Figure 25, hydroxylation occurs in PD to form eight products in the presence of fungus Mucor spinosus AS 3.3450, which can als[o sp](#page-14-0)ecifically oxidize the hydroxyl group at the 12-position in PD to form a carbonyl group.¹⁰¹

As shown in Figure 26, the skeleton of dammarane can be synthesized via the cyclization of 2,3-oxidosqua[lene](#page-24-0) catalyzed by various specific enz[ym](#page-15-0)es. Cycloartenol synthase, dammarenediol-II synthase, and β -amyrin synthase are able to catalyze the cyclization of squalene to form the sapogenin of ginsenoside.¹⁰² Squalene derivatives provide all the carbon atoms in the sapogenin skeleton, and some specific enzymes drive a s[ucce](#page-24-0)ssive transfer of π -electrons to form the triterpenoid skeleton.¹⁰³

6. ACTIVE COMP[ONE](#page-24-0)NTS IN GINSENG

Figure 27 illustrates the essential role of in vivo oxidation in aging and some fatal diseases.¹⁰⁴ In vivo oxidation provides the energy [for](#page-15-0) life and, meanwhile, generates many reactive oxygen and nitrogen species that caus[e o](#page-24-0)xidative stress. Oxidative stress changes the chemical components of lipid, membrane, DNA, and protein, inactivates enzymes, and degrades the central nervous system $(CNS)^{105}$ Since antioxidant therapy has become a popularly acceptable concept, nutritional and medicinal plants are app[lied](#page-24-0) to inhibit harmful oxidations.¹⁰⁶ In particular, the effects of ginseng extracts on tumors and diabetes have been widely investigated because these t[wo](#page-24-0) diseases correlate directly with the oxidative damage. Presented here are the antioxidant properties of ginsenosides including apoptosis of tumor cells, inhibition of diabetes and neuron degradation, and reaction with free radicals.

6.1. Effects on Cells and Diseases

Chemical carcinogens (structures in Figure 28), biological issues, and irradiation initiate the proliferation of tumor cells in

an in vitro experimental system. The addition of antioxidants

causes apoptosis of these tumor cells.¹⁰⁷ Table 2 lists some

Figure 24. The process of immunostaining Rb1 on PVDF membrane.

Figure 25. Fungus Mucor spinosus AS 3.3450-catalyzed hydroxylation of PD.

typical studies on the activities of total extracts, individual ginsenosides, and sapogenins.

As can be seen in Table 2, the aforementioned works consist of mainly initiators and cell lines in the research of carcinogenesis. The initia[to](#page-16-0)rs involve chemical carcinogens, radicals, irradiations, and cytotoxins. The use of total extract just gives an overall effect of ginseng on tumor cells. It is difficult to identify which ingredient in ginseng inhibits the proliferation of tumor cells. Some researches emphasize the solvent applied to extract ginseng because a certain solvent is beneficial for dissolving a certain kind of component in ginseng. For example, methanolic extract of ginseng cannot stimulate the formation of tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) in rat alveolar macrophages, but water extract exhibits high activity. Because methanol mainly extracts ginsenosides and water

mainly extracts polysaccharide, the formation of TNF- α is mainly ascribed to the polysaccharide.¹¹² It is better to compare the bioactivity of individual ginsenosides in the same experimental system. For example, R[h1](#page-24-0) and Rg1 were applied to hinder human breast cancer cells (MCF-7). Rh1 induces the responsive genes of estrogen, 141 and Rg1 increases the expression of insulin growth factor I receptor (IGF-IR), which can mediate the signalin[g pa](#page-24-0)thways in MCF-7. 142 The individual ginsenosides are employed to suppress the secretion of catecholamines from bovine adrenal chromaff[in](#page-25-0) cells stimulated by acetylcholine (ACh). PT-type ginsenosides are more efficient than PD-type ones in this case.¹³¹ Hemin can cause hemolysis of erythrocytes by accelerating the potassium leakage, dissociating skeletal proteins, and pr[ohi](#page-24-0)biting some enzymes in erythrocyte membrane. As shown in Figure 29, the

Figure 26. The enzyme-assisted cyclization of 2,3-oxidosqualene to form the dammarane-type skeleton.

protective activities of mixed ginsenosides (total), twelve individual ginsenosides, and PD and PT are compared in hemin-induced hemolysis of human erythrocytes.¹³² PT-type ginsenosides together with PT itself possess similar antihemolysis activities (66−79%) as that of the mi[xed](#page-24-0) ginsenosides (74%). For PD-type ginsenosides, Rc has the highest activity (55%), followed by Rd, Rb1, and Rb3, but the corresponding sapogenin, PD, promotes hemolysis (106%). Meanwhile, Rh2 and Rg3 increase hemolysis percentages to 152% and 222%, respectively. Rg3 can initiate hemolysis (130%) even in the absence of hemin. By comparison of ginsenoside structures, the antihemolysis effects of Rc (55%),

Table 2. In Vitro Experimental Models for Evaluating the Active Components in Ginseng

Rd (59%), and Re (66%) may be ascribed to sugar moieties at 3- (or 6-) and 20-position simultaneously. Furthermore, the absence of sugar moieties at the 20-position makes Rh2, Rg3, and Rh1 strongly induce apoptosis of human leukemia cells (THP-1).¹⁴⁶ The destroying effect of Rh2 on membrane is applied t[o](#page-25-0) [h](#page-25-0)inder lipid rafts in the membrane of HeLa cells,

causing apoptosis of these cells.¹⁵¹ These works largely [focu](#page-25-0)s on the abundant components of individual ginsenoside such as the Rg and Rh series; the influenc[e](#page-25-0) [o](#page-25-0)f the chiral carbon at the 20 position in Rg3 on the bioactivity is clarified clearly.¹⁵²

Diabetes is another disease caused by oxidative stress and the lack of antioxidants and is an experimental model t[o](#page-25-0) [e](#page-25-0)valuate

Figure 29. Hemin (4.0 μ M)-induced hemolysis of human erythrocytes (1.0%, v/v in phosphate buffered saline (PBS)) is inhibited by 20.0 μ M ginsenosides and PD and PT except Rh2 and Rg3. Rh2 and Rg3 (20.0 μ M) cause hemolysis of erythrocytes (1.0%, v/v in PBS) as 4.0 μ M hemin.¹³²

the antiox[ida](#page-24-0)nt activity. Table 3 collects some diabetes-related experimental models together with some other oxidative stressinduced diseases. The functio[n](#page-18-0)s of ginseng components are evaluated by measuring various biochemical indexes.

As can be seen in Table 3, total extract of ginseng is usually employed in animal-related experiments. The complicated isolation process makes in[di](#page-18-0)vidual ginsenosides too expensive for the large consumption in the in vivo experiments. Although the amounts of various individual ginsenosides in total extract can be identified by HPLC, it cannot definitely point out which ginsenoside plays the major role in treating diseases. Thus, it is important to compare the activities of individual ginsenosides in the same experiment in order to reveal the in vivo mechanism of ginsenoside. For example, when the obese mice are fed various individual ginsenosides, it is found that Rb1, Rb2, Rc, and Rd inhibit pancreatic lipase efficiently, while Rg1 and Re cannot.¹⁶⁵ This finding implies that sugar moieties at both the 3- and 20-positions in PD-type ginsenoside are active to decrease pancreatic lipase, while sugar moieties at the 6- and 20-positions in PT-type ginsenoside do not exhibit this bioactivity.

6.2. Effects on Nervous System

Ginseng can prevent aging, disorders of the central nervous system (CNS), imbalance of nitric oxide (NO) ,¹⁷¹ and neurotoxicity.^{172} Table 4 lists some typical studies of ginsenosides in the CNS.

The experi[men](#page-25-0)tal mate[ria](#page-19-0)ls include bronchial and cerebral arterial strips and canine corpus cavernosum. Acetylcholine (ACh), acrylamide, prostaglandin, nicotine, electricity, and UV light cause the contraction of experimental materials.^{173,174,187} The contents of NO, cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (c[GMP\) are](#page-25-0) measured in the presence of the total extract of ginseng and individual ginsenosides. Or, some chemical or biological factors are applied to cause oxidative stress of neurocytes and to attenuate neurological functions. The usage of the total extract of ginseng and individual ginsenosides can inhibit the aforementioned damages. For example, PC12 cells, primary astrocytes, mesencephalic or spinal cord neuron cultures, and hippocampal CA1 neurons are exposed to H_2O_2 , dopamine, OH, glutamate, and 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium ion or stimulated by epidermal growth factor and cerebral ischemic reperfusion factor. The application of ginsenosides can modify the biochemical indices of middle cerebral artery occlusion of male Wistar−Kyoto rats, Alzheimer of SAMP8 mice, and acrylamide-fed Sprague−Dawley rats. Dietary supplementation of total extract of ginseng or individual ginsenosides improves the biochemical indices of these experimental animals. The mechanism of ginsenosides in protecting neural systems can be summarized on the basis of experimental results from individual ginsenosides applied. For example, the antiamnestic and antiaging effects of Rg1 and Rb1 are due to increased expression of brain derived neurotrophic factor, BCL-2, and antioxidant enzyme, to form new synapses and to inhibit apoptosis and calcium overload.¹⁹⁰ Total extract of ginseng inhibits N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-induced increase of intracellular $[Ca^{2+}]$ in culture[d](#page-25-0) hippocampal neurons.¹⁹¹ Moreover, Rg3 inhibits NMDA receptor by increasing the concentration of glycine¹⁹² and attenuates homocystei[ne](#page-25-0)induced excitotoxicity.¹⁹³ The components of β -N-oxalyl-Lα,β-diaminopropionic acid, [γ](#page-25-0)-aminobutyric acid, glutamine, and arginine are the neuro[excit](#page-25-0)atory compounds in old ginsengs.¹⁹⁴ If more individual ginsenosides are employed in the same experimental system and more neuroexcitatory compounds [are](#page-25-0) found in ginseng, the mechanism of ginsenosides toward neural system will be further clarified.

6.3. Effects on Radicals

The radical-induced oxidations of lipids, membranes, DNA, and proteins are proven to be chemopathogenesis in aging¹⁹⁵ and some fatal diseases.^{196,197} Much work focuses on the expression of oxidative damage,¹⁹⁸ the determination of ant[ioxi](#page-25-0)dant levels,¹⁹⁹ and the [design](#page-25-0)ation of antioxidants.²⁰⁰ The high activity to trap radical[s im](#page-25-0)plies that the antioxidant may be a candi[date](#page-25-0) as a chemopreventive drug.²⁰¹ The rad[ical-](#page-25-0)scavenging properties of ginseng extracts and individual ginsenosides are collected in Table 5.

As can be seen in Table 5, water extract is usually used to determine the radi[ca](#page-20-0)l-scavenging properties of ginseng. Tissuecultured adventitious root[s](#page-20-0) of ginseng with poly(ethylene

Chemical Reviews Reviews **Reviews** Review **Reviews**

Table 4. Nitric Oxide and Nervous System Employed To Evaluate the Active Components in Ginseng Table 4. Nitric Oxide and Nervous System Employed To Evaluate the Active Components in Ginseng

of self-oxidation of 1,2,3-phentriol

scavenging 'OH measured by ESR with DMPO as spin label

AAPH-induced hemolysis of human erythrocytes

oxidation of rat liver homogenate

inhibition of the oxidation of deoxyribose induced by ferrous ammonium sulfate, ascorbic acid, and H

scavenging DPPH in alginate biodegradable film incorporating white and red ginseng extracts

inhibition of the oxidation of deoxyribose induced by ferrous annonium sulfate, ascorbic acid, and H₂O₂, determination of TBARS and O₂-*, inhibition of self-oxidation of 1,2,3-phentriol

scavenging $^{\bullet}$ OH measured by ESR with DMPO as spin label (20S)-Rg3, (20

AAPH-induced hemolysis of human erythrocytes Rb1, Rb3, Rc, Rd, Re, Rg1, Rg2, Rg3, Rh1, Rh2, R1, [PD,](#page-26-0)

scavenging DPPH in alginate biodegradable film incorporating white and red ginseng extracts extract of white and red ginseng 214

 $_{2}\mathrm{O}_{2}$, determination of TBARS and O_{2}

−•, inhibition

polysaccharides in boiling-water extract of ginseng 215

polysaccharides in boiling-water extract of ginseng

extract of white and red ginseng

R)-Rg3, Rk1, Rg5, Rb1 216,217

218,219

216,217

215 214

 (208) -Rg3, $(20R)$ -Rg3, Rk1, Rg3, Rb1
Rb1, Rb3, Rc, Rd, Re, Rg1, Rg2, Rg3, Rb1, Rh2, R1, PD,
and PT

glycol)²²⁰ and linoleic or α -linolenic acids²²¹ in a biorector exert high antioxidant capacity because much more ginsenosides a[nd](#page-26-0) phenolics are enriched by the cult[ure](#page-26-0) treatment. The tissue-culture treatment together with oxygen or Cu^{2+} employed can enhance the activities of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, shikimate dehydrogenase, cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase, and phenylalanine ammonia lyase, and increase the amount of phenolics, flavonoids, cysteine, and non-protein thiol in ginseng roots. As a result, the ability of ginseng extract to trap DPPH increases about 76%.^{222,223} OH usually acts as the radical resource in screening the radical-scavenging properties of ginseng extract. The [extrac](#page-26-0)t from red ginseng exhibits higher ability to trap • OH than that from white ginseng when [•]OH is measured by ESR with 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-N-oxide (DMPO) being the spin labeling agent. The high ability of red ginseng is due to abundant contents of (20S)-Rg3, (20R)-Rg3, Rk1, and Rg5 produced by heating original ginseng to form red ginseng.²¹⁶ Moreover, Rb1 can convert into (20S)-Rg3, (20R)-Rg3, Rk1, and Rg5 in the heating process. (20S)- Rg3 and Rg5 play [the](#page-26-0) main role in scavenging • OH, while (20R)-Rg3 and Rk1 only show weak activities toward • OH. In addition, Maillard reaction products (MRPs) formed between Rb1 and glycine in the heating process have high ability to scavenge [•]OH.²¹⁷ Re cannot trap DPPH because no hydrogen atom in Re can be abstracted by N-centered radical.²⁰⁹ Also, the protective effe[ct o](#page-26-0)f water extract from ginseng on DNA against radiation-induced damage is better than that [of i](#page-25-0)ndividual ginsenosides,²²⁴ and the radical-scavenging property of total extract from ginseng is ascribed to free or esterified phenolics and polysacc[har](#page-26-0)ides.²¹⁵ It is found that white ginseng contains a similar amount of phenolic acids (27.2 mg/100 g) to the red ginseng (26.8 mg/ 100 g 100 g 100 g).²²⁵

The antioxidant capacities of total extract of ginseng and 11 individual ginsenosides, 218 [as](#page-26-0) well as corresponding sapogenins, PD, and PT,²¹⁹ have been compared in 2,2'-azobis(2amidinopropane) dihy[droc](#page-26-0)hloride (AAPH)-induced hemolysis of human eryt[hro](#page-26-0)cytes. Rb1, Rb3, Rc, Rd, Rg3, and Rh2 are typical PD-type ginsenosides, and Rh1, Rg1, Rg2, R1, and Re are typical PT-type ginsenosides. As shown in eq 1, AAPH

provides peroxyl radical (ROO^{\bullet}) with a stable rate (R_{g}) via decomposition at 37 °C and is often used as peroxyl radical resource in the oxidations of LDL^{226} and erythrocytes.²²⁷

Figure 30 outlines the effects of the mixtures of PD- or PTtype ginsenosides on AAPH-in[duce](#page-26-0)d hemolysis of [hu](#page-26-0)man erythrocytes. The mixture of PD-type ginsenosides protects erythrocytes against AAPH-induced hemolysis effectively with increasing concentration, and the mixture of PT-type ginsenosides exhibits a completely reverse result. However, both PD and PT promote hemolysis with increasing concentration, indicating that the sapogenins of PD- and PT-type ginsenosides play a prooxidant role in this case. Furthermore, the effects of various individual ginsenosides on AAPH-induced hemolysis

Figure 30. The effects of the mixtures of PD- or PT-type ginsenosides together with PD and PT on AAPH-induced hemolysis of human erythrocytes. Erythrocytes were suspended in PBS (3.0%, v/v), and 40 mM AAPH was added at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 h.²¹⁹

are illustrated in Figure $31.^{219}$ In [addi](#page-26-0)tion to Rh2 and Rg3, other individual ginsenosides prevent hemolysis concentrationdependently. The absenc[e o](#page-22-0)[f su](#page-26-0)gar moieties at the 20-position makes Rh2 and Rg3 promote hemolysis with increasing concentration. In contrast, the absence of sugar moieties at the 20-position does not affect Rg2 and Rh1 to prevent hemolysis, demonstrating that sugar moieties at the 6- and 20 positions make PT-type ginsenosides inhibit AAPH-induced hemolysis.

Further research deals with the process of ginsenosides in inhibiting AAPH-induced hemolysis. Figure 32 outlines a typical hemolysis process in the presence of various concentrations of Rb1. The blank experime[nt](#page-22-0) shows that hemolysis does not take place immediately when AAPH is added to erythrocytes suspension. The endogenous antioxidant systems protect erythrocytes against the attack from radicals to generate an inhibition period (t_{inh}) .²²⁸ The t_{inh} increases with the concentration of Rb1, and the relationships between t_{inh} and concentrations of Rb1 along with o[ther](#page-26-0) individual ginsenosides are illustrated in Figure 33. Rc and Rb1 inhibit AAPH-induced hemolysis in a concentration-dependent manner. Rb1 contains a sucrose moiety at th[e 3](#page-22-0)- and 20-positions; Rc contains a sucrose moiety at the 3-position and a xylosylglucose moiety at the 20-position. Hence, disaccharide moieties at the 3- and 20 positions enhance the ability of ginsenosides to protect erythrocytes. The protective effects of other ginsenosides on erythrocytes do not increase with the concentration. PD-type ginsenosides including Rb3, Rh2, Rd, and Rg3 and PT-type ginsenosides including Rg1 and Rh1 even improve the hemolysis with the increase of their concentrations, while Re is inert in this case.

7. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

It is widely accepted that maintaining health is more important than treating diseases. Not only does botanical dietary intake supplement necessary nutrition, but the antioxidant components potentially reinforce the endogenous antioxidant systems. In the case of quality assurance and strict standardization control, ginseng may be a dietary supplementation in the future.²²⁹ But the following aspects still need investigating.

(1) The conditions that affect the accumulation of [ant](#page-26-0)ioxidant components in ginseng during the cultivation should be further clarified by analyzing ginsenosides,

[ginsenoside] (μM)

Figure 31. The effects of various individual ginsenosides on AAPH-induced hemolysis of human erythrocytes. Erythrocytes were suspended in PBS (3.0%, v/v), and 40 mM AAPH was added at 37 °C for 3 $h.^{219}$

Figure 32. The relationship between the percentage of hemolysis and concentration of Rb1 in AAPH-induced hemolysis of human erythrocytes. The concentration of Rb1 is 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 μ M (from the left line to the right one), respectively. The concentrations of AAPH and erythrocytes are 39 mM and 2.4% (v/ v in PBS).²¹⁸

Figure 33. The relationship between the concentration of individul ginsenosides and inhibition time, t_{inh} , in AAPH-induced hemolysis of human erythrocytes. The concentrations of AAPH and erythrocytes are 39 mM and 2.4% (v/v in PBS), respectively.²¹⁸.

phenolics, and polysaccharides. Mean[whil](#page-26-0)e, improvement of analysis and isolation technique helps us to obtain more ginsenosides and novel antioxidants in ginseng.

(2) Many more synthetic studies on the construction of sapogenin, glycosylation, and deglycosylation should be carried out chemically and biochemically because these synthetic works can provide a large amount of a certain ginsenoside for special pharmacological action.

(3) The systematic comparison of the activities of various individual ginsenosides in the same biological experimental system will clarify the influence of the position and the kind of sugar moiety on the bioactivity. The mutual effects among different components in ginseng should also be explored since the pharmacological actions of ginseng are not the simple sum of various components.

Based on the detailed results from all the investigation aspects, it is reasonable to believe that ginseng will be not only a medicinal herb to treat diseases but also a resource of natural antioxidants to maintain health.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Mailing address: Department of Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, No. 2519 Jiefang Road, Changchun 130021 China. E-mail: zaiqun-liu@jlu.edu.cn.

Biography

Zai-Qun Liu (born April 24, 1968, Changchun, China) studied at Jilin University (Changchun, China) from 1986 to 1996 and received his B.Sc. in 1990, M.Sc. in 1993 (major in organic synthesis under microwave irradiation), and Ph.D. in 1996 (major in the template effect of amine on the synthesis of aluminophosphate molecular sieves). He was a Postdoctorate Fellow at Lanzhou University (Lanzhou, China) from 1996 to 1998 and began with research work on antioxidants. He became Associate Professor in 1999 and Professor

in 2001 in the Department of Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University. He has published about 50 papers on antioxidants and one textbook on organic chemistry.

REFERENCES

- (1) Yun, T.-K. Lancet Oncol. 2001, 2, 49.
- (2) Schiff, P. L. Jr.; Srinivasan, V. S.; Giancaspro, G. I.; Roll, D. B.;
- Salguero, J.; Sharaf, M. H. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 464.
- (3) Xiang, Y.-Z.; Shang, H.-C.; Gao, X.-M.; Zhang, B.-L. Phytother. Res. 2008, 22, 851.
- (4) Calixto, J. B.; Beirith, A.; Ferreira, J.; Santos, A. R. S.; Filho, V. C.; Yunes, R. A. Phytother. Res. 2000, 14, 401.
- (5) Lee, S. H.; Jung, B. H.; Kim, S. Y.; Lee, E. H.; Chung, B. C. Pharmacol. Res. 2006, 54, 46.
- (6) Kennedy, D. O.; Scholey, A. B. Pharmacol., Biochem. Behav. 2003, 75, 687.
- (7) Christensen, L. P. Adv. Food Nutr. Res. 2008, 55, 1.
- (8) Mena, S.; Ortega, A.; Estrela, J. M. Mutat. Res. 2009, 674, 36.
- (9) Merry, B. J. Aging Cell 2004, 3, 7.
- (10) Surh, Y.-J. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2003, 3, 768.
- (11) Finkel, T.; Holbrook, N, J. Nature 2000, 408, 239.
- (12) Stevenson, D. E.; Hurst, R. D. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2007, 64, 2900.
- (13) Korkina, L. G. Cell. Mol. Biol. 2007, 53, 15.
- (14) Butt, M. S.; Nazir, A.; Sultan, M. T.; Schroen, K. ̈ Trends Food Sci. Technol. 2008, 19, 505.
- (15) Boots, A. W.; Haenen, G. R. M. M.; Bast, A. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2008, 585, 325.
- (16) Fan, T.-P.; Yeh, J.-C.; Leung, K. W.; Yue, P. Y. K.; Wong, R. N. S. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2006, 27, 297.
- (17) Lu, J. M.; Yao, Q. Z.; Chen, C. Y. Curr. Vasc. Pharmacol. 2009, 7, 293.
- (18) Lim, W.; Mudge, K. W.; Vermeylen, F. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 8498.
- (19) Fournier, A. R.; Proctor, J. T. A.; Gauthier, L.; Khanizadeh, S.; Bélanger, A.; Gosselin, A.; Dorais, M. Phytochemistry 2003, 63, 777.
- (20) Dong, T. T. X.; Cui, X. M.; Song, Z. H.; Zhao, K. J.; Ji, Z. N.; Lo, C. K.; Tsim, K. W. K. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003, 51, 4617.
- (21) Hong, D. Y. Q.; Lau, A. J.; Yeo, C. L.; Liu, X. K.; Yang, C. R.; Koh, H. L.; Hong, Y. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 8460.
- (22) Yu, K.-W.; Gao, W.; Hahn, E.-J.; Paek, K.-Y. Biochem. Eng. J. 2002, 11, 211.
- (23) Hu, F.-X.; Zhong, J.-J. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 2007, 104, 513.
- (24) Ali, M. B.; Hahn, E. J.; Paek, K.-Y. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 2005, 43, 449.
- (25) Ali, M. B.; Dewir, Y. H.; Hahn, E.-J.; Paek, K.-Y. Environ. Exp. Botany 2008, 63, 297.
- (26) Chen, C.-Y. O.; Ribaya-Mercado, J. D.; McKay, D. L.; Croom, E.; Blumberg, J. B. Food Chem. 2010, 119, 445.
- (27) Tung, N. H.; Song, G. Y.; Nhiem, N. X.; Ding, Y.; Tai, B. H.; Jin, L. G.; Lim, C.-M.; Hyun, J. W.; Park, C. J.; Kang, H. K.; Kim, Y. H. J.
- Agric. Food Chem. 2010, 58, 868. (28) Yoshikawa, M.; Morikawa, T.; Kashima, Y.; Ninomiya, K.;
- Matsuda, H. J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 922.
- (29) Jung, C.-H.; Seog, H.-M.; Choi, I.-W.; Park, M.-W.; Cho, H.-Y. LWT-Food Sci. Technol. 2006, 39, 266.
- (30) Gafner, S.; Bergeron, C.; McCollom, M. M.; Cooper, L. M.; McPhail, K. L.; Gerwick, W. H.; Angerhofer, C. K. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 1546.
- (31) Zou, K.; Zhu, S.; Tohda, C.; Cai, S.; Komatsu, K. J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 346.
- (32) Wang, C.-Z.; Zhang, B.; Song, W.-X.; Wang, A.; Ni, M.; Luo, X.; Aung, H. H.; Xie, J.-T.; Tong, R.; He, T.-C.; Yuan, C.-S. J. Agric. Food
- Chem. 2006, 54, 9936. (33) Popovich, D. G.; Kitts, D. D. Phytochemistry 2004, 65, 337.
- (34) Kim, W. Y.; Kim, J. M.; Han, S. B.; Lee, S. K.; Kim, N. D.; Park,
- M. K.; Kim, C. K.; Park, J. H. J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 1702.
- (35) Kuo, Y.-H.; Ikegami, F.; Lambein, F. Phytochemistry 2003, 62, 1087.
- (36) Cho, E. J.; Piao, X. L.; Jang, M. H.; Baek, S. H.; Kim, H. Y.;
- Kang, K. S.; Kwon, S. W.; Park, J. H. Food Chem. 2008, 107, 876. (37) Ranilla, L. G.; Kwon, Y.-I.; Apostolidis, E.; Shetty, K. Bioresour.
- Technol. 2010, 101, 4676. (38) Kwon, J.-H.; Bélanger, J. M. R.; Paré, J. R. J.; Yaylayan, V. A.
- Food Res. Int. 2003, 36, 491. (39) Yan, M.-M.; Liu, W.; Fu, Y.-J.; Zu, Y.-G.; Chen, C.-Y.; Luo, M.
- Food Chem. 2010, 119, 1663.
- (40) Wu, J.; Lin, L.; Chau, F.-T. Ultrason. Sonochem. 2001, 8, 347.
- (41) Corbit, R. M.; Ferreira, J. F. S.; Ebbs, S. D.; Murphy, L. L. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 9867.
- (42) Zhang, S.; Chen, R.; Wu, H.; Wang, C. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2006, 41, 57.
- (43) Chen, R.; Meng, F.; Zhang, S.; Liu, Z. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2009, 66, 340.
- (44) Chen, C.-H.; Huang, T.-Y.; Lee, M.-R.; Hsu, S.-L.; Chang, C.-M. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2007, 46, 8138.
- (45) Díaz-Reinoso, B.; Moure, A.; Domínguez, H.; Parajo, J. C. ́ J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 2441.
- (46) Wood, J. A.; Bernards, M. A.; Wan, W.-K.; Charpentier, P. A. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2006, 39, 40.
- (47) Fukuda, N.; Tanaka, H.; Shoyama, Y. J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 283. (48) Tanaka, H.; Fukuda, N.; Yahara, S.; Isoda, S.; Yuan, C.-S.; Shoyama, Y. Phytother. Res. 2005, 19, 255.
- (49) Duc, N. M.; Kasai, R.; Ohtani, K.; Ito, A.; Nham, N. T.; Yamasaki, K.; Tanaka, O. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1994, 42, 115.
- (50) Lee, E.-J.; Shaykhutdinov, R.; Weljie, A. M.; Vogel, H. J.; Facchini, P. J.; Park, S.-U.; Kim, Y.-K.; Yang, T.-J. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2009, 57, 7513.
- (51) Ko, S.-R.; Suzuki, Y.; Suzuki, K.; Choi, K.-J.; Cho, B.-G. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2007, 55, 1522.
- (52) Dou, D.-Q.; Chen, Y.-J.; Liang, L.-H.; Pang, F.-G.; Shimizu, N.; Takeda, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2001, 49, 442.
- (53) Wang, X.; Sakuma, T.; Asafu-Adjaye, E.; Shiu, G. K. Anal. Chem. 1999, 71, 1579.
- (54) Chan, T. W. D.; But, P. P. H; Cheng, S. W.; Kwok, I. M. Y.; Lau, F. W.; Xu, H. X. Anal. Chem. 2000, 72, 1281.
- (55) Ng, K.-M.; Che, C.-M.; Wo, S.-K.; Tam, P. K.-H.; Lau, A. S.-Y. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 2006, 20, 1545.
- (56) Ackloo, S. Z.; Smith, R. W.; Terlouw, J. K.; McCarry, B. E. Analyst 2000, 125, 591.
- (57) Liu, S.; Cui, M.; Liu, Z.; Song, F.; Mo, W. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2004, 15, 133.
- (58) Li, W.; Gu, C.; Zhang, H.; Awang, D. V. C.; Fitzloff, J. F.; Fong,
- H. H. S.; van Breemen, R. B. Anal. Chem. 2000, 72, 5417.
- (59) Qu, J.; Liang, Q.; Luo, G.; Wang, Y. Anal. Chem. 2004, 76, 2239.
- (60) Wan, J. B.; Lai, C. M.; Li, S. P.; Lee, M. Y.; Kong, L. Y.; Wang, Y. T. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2006, 41, 274.
- (61) Li, T. S. C.; Mazza, G.; Cottrell, A. C.; Gao, L. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1996, 44, 717.
- (62) Assinewe, V. A.; Baum, B. R.; Gagnon, D.; Arnason, J. T. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003, 51, 4549.
- (63) Chau, F.-T.; Chan, H.-Y.; Cheung, C.-Y.; Xu, C.-J.; Liang, Y.; Kvalheim, O. M. Anal. Chem. 2009, 81, 7217.
- (64) Wang, C.-Z.; Wu, J.; McEntee, E.; Yuan, C.-S. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 2261.
- (65) Gui, F.-J.; Yang, X.-W.; Li, L.-Y.; Tian, J.-M. J. Chromatogr. B 2007, 850, 1.
- (66) Christensen, L. P.; Jensen, M.; Kidmose, U. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 8995.
- (67) Ohtake, N.; Nakai, Y.; Yamamoto, M.; Sakakibara, I.; Takeda, S.; Amagaya, S.; Aburada, M. J. Chromatogr. B 2004, 812, 135.
- (68) Kwon, H.-J.; Jeong, J.-S.; Sim, H.-J.; Lee, Y.-M.; Kim, Y. S.; Hong, S.-P. J. Chromatogr. A 2009, 1216, 4445.
- (69) Yang, M.; Sun, J.; Lu, Z.; Chen, G.; Guan, S.; Liu, X.; Jiang, B.; Ye, M.; Guo, D.-A. J. Chromatogr. A 2009, 1216, 2045.
- (70) Nam, M. H.; Kim, S. I.; Liu, J. R.; Yang, D. C.; Lim, Y. P.; Kwon, K.-H.; Yoo, J. S.; Park, Y. M. J. Chromatogr. B 2005, 815, 147.
- (71) Dan, M.; Su, M.; Gao, X.; Zhao, T.; Zhao, A.; Xie, G.; Qiu, Y.; Zhou, M.; Liu, Z.; Jia, W. Phytochemistry 2008, 69, 2237.
- (72) Lai, C. M.; Li, S. P.; Yu, H.; Wan, J. B.; Kan, K. W.; Wang, Y. T. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2006, 40, 669.
- (73) Luchtefeld, R.; Kostoryz, E.; Smith, R. E. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 4953.
- (74) Jung, M. Y.; Jeon, B. S.; Bock, J. Y. Food Chem. 2002, 79, 105.
- (75) Fukuda, N.; Tanaka, H.; Shoyama, Y. Analyst 2000, 125, 1425. (76) Morinaga, O.; Tanaka, H.; Shoyama, Y. J. Chromatogr. B 2006,
- 830, 100.
- (77) Jung, D.-W.; Lee, J. M.; Sung, C. K. Anal. Chim. Acta 2002, 462, 157.
- (78) Sparg, S. G.; Light, M. E.; van Staden, J. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2004, 94, 219.
- (79) Matkowski, A. Biotechnol. Adv. 2008, 26, 548.
- (80) Kong, H.; Wang, M.; Venema, K.; Maathuis, A.; van der Heijden, R.; van der Greef, J.; Xu, G.; Hankemeier, T. J. Chromatogr. A 2009, 1216, 2195.
- (81) Chen, G.; Yang, M.; Song, Y.; Lu, Z.; Zhang, J.; Huang, H.; Guan, S.; Wu, L.; Guo, D.-A. Biomed. Chromatogr. 2008, 22, 779.
- (82) Zhao, X.; Gao, L.; Wang, J.; Bi, H.; Gao, J.; Du, X.; Zhou, Y.; Tai, G. Process Biochem. 2009, 44, 612.
- (83) Yu, H.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, C.; Lu, M.; Fu, Y.; Im, W.-T.; Lee, S.-T.; Jin, F. Process Biochem. 2009, 44, 772.
- (84) Yousef, L. F.; Bernards, M. A. Phytochemistry 2006, 67, 1740.
- (85) Neculai, M. A.; Ivanov, D.; Bernards, M. A. Phytochemistry 2009, 70, 1948.
- (86) Cheng, L.-Q.; Na, J. R.; Bang, M. H.; Kim, M. K.; Yang, D.-C. Phytochemistry 2008, 69, 218.
- (87) Su, J.-H.; Xu, J.-H.; Lu, W.-Y.; Lin, G.-Q. J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 2006, 38, 113.
- (88) Chang, T.-C.; Huang, S.-F.; Yang, T.-C.; Chan, F.-N.; Lin, H.- C.; Chang, W.-L. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 1993.
- (89) Cui, J.-F.; Byström, S.; Eneroth, P.; Björkhem, I. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 8251.
- (90) Anufriev, V. P.; Malinovskaya, G. V.; Denisenko, V. A.; Uvarova, N. I.; Elyakov, G. B.; Kim, S.-I.; Baek, N.-I. Carbohydr. Res. 1997, 304,
- 179.
- (91) Atopkina, L. N.; Uvarova, N. I.; Elyakov, G. B. Carbohydr. Res. 1997, 303, 449.
- (92) Han, M.; Hou, J.-G.; Dong, C.-M.; Li, W.; Yu, H.-L.; Zheng, Y.- N.; Chen, L. Molecules 2010, 15, 399.
- (93) Hasegawa, H. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 2004, 95, 153.
- (94) Hu, J.-N.; Lee, J.-H.; Zhu, X.-M.; Shin, J.-A.; Adhikari, P.; Kim, J.-K.; Lee, K.-T. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2008, 56, 10988.
- (95) Danieli, B.; Luisetti, M.; Riva, S.; Bertinotti, A.; Ragg, E.; Scaglioni, L.; Bombardelli, E. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3637.
- (96) Danieli, B.; Falcone, L.; Monti, D.; Riva, S.; Gebhardt, S.; Schubert-Zsilavecz, M. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 262.
- (97) Yue, C.-J.; Zhong, J.-J. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 2005, 89, 444.
- (98) Peng, W.; Sun, J.; Lin, F.; Han, X.; Yu, B. Synlett 2004, 2, 259.
- (99) Tanaka, H.; Fukuda, N.; Shoyama, Y. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 3783.
- (100) Fukuda, N.; Shan, S.; Tanaka, H.; Shoyama, Y. J. Nat. Med. 2006, 60, 21.
- (101) Li, H.; Ye, M.; Guo, H.; Tian, Y.; Zhang, J.; Zhou, J.; Hu, Y.; Guo, D. Phytochemistry 2009, 70, 1416.
- (102) Tansakul, P.; Shibuya, M.; Kushiro, T.; Ebizuka, Y. FEBS Lett. 2006, 580, 5143.
- (103) Mirjalili, M. H.; Moyano, E.; Bonfill, M.; Cusido, R. M.; Palazón, J. Molecules 2009, 14, 2373.
- (104) Kirkwood, T. B. L.; Austad, S. N. Nature 2000, 408, 233.
- (105) Ho, Y.-S.; So, K.-F.; Chang, R. C.-C. Ageing Res. Rev. 2010, 9, 354.
- (106) Singh, B.; Bhat, T. K.; Singh, B. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003, 51, 5579.
- (107) Neergheen, V. S.; Bahorun, T.; Taylor, E. W.; Jen, L.-S.; Aruoma, O. I. Toxicology 2009, 278, 229.
- (108) Keum, Y.-S.; Park, K.-K.; Lee, J.-M.; Chun, K.-S.; Park, J. H.; Lee, S. K.; Kwon, H.; Surh, Y.-J. Cancer Lett. 2000, 150, 41.
- (109) Keum, Y.-S.; Han, S. S.; Chun, K.-S.; Park, K.-K.; Park, J.-H.; Lee, S. K.; Surh, Y.-J. Mut. Res. 2003, 523−524, 75.
- (110) Abdel-Wahhab, M. A.; Hassan, N. S.; El-Kady, A. A.;
- Khadrawy, Y. A.; El-Nekeety, A. A.; Mohamed, S. R.; Sharaf, H. A.; Mannaa, F. A. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2010, 48, 733.
- (111) Kang, K. S.; Yamabe, N.; Kim, H. Y.; Yokozawa, T. Phytomedicine 2007, 14, 840.
- (112) Assinewe, V. A.; Arnason, J. T.; Aubry, A.; Mullin, J.; Lemaire, I. Phytomedicine 2002, 9, 398.
- (113) Sun, K.; Wang, C.-S.; Guo, J.; Horie, Y.; Fang, S.-P.; Wang, F.; Liu, Y.-Y.; Liu, L.-Y.; Yang, J.-Y.; Fan, J.-Y.; Han, J.-Y. Life Sci. 2007, 81, 509.
- (114) Shukla, R.; Kumar, M. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2009, 47, 769.
- (115) Kumar, A.; Kumar, M.; Panwar, M.; Samarth, R. M.; Park, T. Y.; Park, M. H.; Kimura, H. BioFactors 2006, 26, 29.
- (116) Kang, K.-S.; Kang, B.-C.; Lee, B.-J.; Che, J.-H.; Li, G.-X.; Trosko, J. E.; Lee, Y.-S. Cancer Lett. 2000, 152, 97.
- (117) Xin, X.; Zhong, J.; Wei, D.; Liu, J. Process Biochem. 2005, 40, 3202.
- (118) Kwok, H. H.; Ng, W. Y.; Yang, M. S. M.; Mak, N. K.; Wong, R. N. S.; Yue, P. Y. K. Free Radical Biol. Med. 2010, 48, 437.
- (119) Lee, H.-U.; Bae, E.-A.; Han, M. J.; Kim, N.-J.; Kim, D.-H. Liver Int. 2005, 25, 1069.
- (120) Tran, Q. L.; Adnyana, I. K.; Tezuka, Y.; Nagaoka, T.; Tran, Q. K.; Kadota, S. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 456.
- (121) Chae, S.; Kang, K. A.; Chang, W. Y.; Kim, M. J.; Lee, S. J.; Lee, Y. S.; Kim, H. S.; Kim, D. H.; Hyun, J. W. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2009, 57, 5777.
- (122) Tamura, T.; Cui, X.; Sakaguchi, N.; Akashi, M. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2008, 46, 3080.
- (123) Zhang, Q. H.; Wu, C. F.; Duan, L.; Yang, J. Y. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2008, 46, 293.
- (124) Kim, H. Y.; Kim, K. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 2816.
- (125) Min, J.-K.; Kim, J.-H.; Cho, Y.-L.; Maeng, Y.-S.; Lee, S.-J.; Pyun, B.-J.; Kim, Y.-M.; Park, J. H.; Kwon, Y.-G. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2006, 349, 987.
- (126) Geng, J. W.; Peng, W.; Huang, Y. G.; Fan, H.; Li, S. D. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2010, 634, 162.
- (127) Xie, X.-S.; Yang, M.; Liu, H.-C.; Zuo, C.; Li, H.-J.; Fan, J.-M. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2009, 122, 35.
- (128) Park, W.-H.; Lee, S.-K.; Kim, C.-H. Life Sci. 2005, 76, 1675.
- (129) Tachikawa, E.; Kudo, K.; Nunokawa, M.; Kashimoto, T.; Takahashi, E.; Kitagawa, S. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2001, 62, 943.
- (130) Tachikawa, E.; Kudo, K.; Hasegawa, H.; Kashimoto, T.; Sasaki, K.; Miyazaki, M.; Taira, H.; Lindstrom, J. M. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2003, 66, 2213.
- (131) Tachikawa, E.; Kudo, K. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 2004, 95, 140.
- (132) Li, G.-X.; Liu, Z.-Q. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2008, 46, 886.
- (133) Lau, A.-J.; Toh, D.-F.; Chua, T.-K.; Pang, Y.-K.; Woo, S.-O.; Koh, H.-L. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2009, 125, 380.
- (134) Zhu, D.; Wu, L.; Li, C.-R.; Wang, X.-W.; Ma, Y.-J.; Zhong, Z.- Y.; Zhao, H.-B.; Cui, J.; Xun, S.-F.; Huang, X.-L.; Zhou, Z.; Wang, S.-
- Q. J. Cell. Biochem. 2009, 108, 117.
- (135) Lee, J.-Y.; Kim, J.-W.; Cho, S.-D.; Kim, Y.-H.; Choi, K.-J.; Joo, W.-H.; Cho, Y.-K.; Moon, J.-Y. Life Sci. 2004, 75, 1621.
- (136) Zou, K.; Zhu, S.; Meselhy, M. R.; Tohda, C.; Cai, S.; Komatsu, K. J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 1288.
- (137) Poindexter, B. J.; Allison, A. W.; Bick, R. J.; Dasgupta, A. Life Sci. 2006, 79, 2337.
- (138) Bai, C.-X.; Sunami, A.; Namiki, T.; Sawanobori, T.; Furukawa, T. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2003, 476, 35.
- (139) Li, B.; Wang, C.-Z.; He, T.-C.; Yuan, C.-S.; Du, W. Cancer Lett. 2010, 289, 62.
- (140) King, M. L.; Murphy, L. L. Phytomedicine 2010, 17, 261.
- (141) Lee, Y. J.; Jin, Y. R.; Lim, W. C.; Ji, S. M.; Choi, S.; Jang, S.; Lee, S. K. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2003, 84, 463.
- (142) Chen, W.-F.; Lau, W.-S.; Cheung, P.-Y.; Guo, D.-A.; Wong, M.- S. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2006, 147, 542.
- (143) Kim, S.-W.; Kwon, H.-Y.; Chi, D.-W.; Shim, J.-H.; Park, J.-D.; Lee, Y.-H.; Pyo, S.; Rhee, D.-K. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2003, 65, 75.
- (144) Sun, S.; Wang, C.-Z.; Tong, R.; Li, X.-L.; Fishbein, A.; Wang, Q.; He, T.-C.; Du, W.; Yuan, C.-S. Food Chem. 2010, 118, 307.
- (145) Shi, S.-L.; Li, Q.-F.; Liu, Q.-R.; Xu, D.-H.; Tang, J.; Liang, Y.; Zhao, Z.-L.; Yang, L.-M. J. Cell. Biochem. 2009, 108, 926.
- (146) Popovich, D. G.; Kitts, D. D. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2002, 406, 1.
- (147) Liu, G.-Y.; Bu, X.; Yan, H.; Jia, W. W.-G. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 259.
- (148) Wang, W.; Rayburn, E. R.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhang, R. Cancer Lett. 2009, 278, 241.
- (149) Wang, W.; Rayburn, E. R.; Hao, M.; Zhao, Y.; Hill, D. L.; Zhang, R.; Wang, H. Prostate 2008, 68, 809.
- (150) Bai, M.-S.; Gao, J.-M.; Fan, C.; Yang, S.-X.; Zhang, G.; Zheng, C.-D. Food Chem. 2010, 119, 306.
- (151) Yi, J.-S.; Choo, H.-J.; Cho, B.-R.; Kim, H.-M.; Kim, Y.-N.;
- Ham, Y.-M.; Ko, Y.-G. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2009, 385, 154. (152) Kang, D.-I.; Lee, J.-Y.; Yang, J.-Y.; Jeong, S. M.; Lee, J.-H.; Nah,
- S.-Y.; Kim, Y. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2005, 333, 1194. (153) Jung, C.-H.; Seog, H.-M.; Choi, I.-W.; Choi, H.-D.; Cho, H.-Y.
- J. Ethnopharmacol. 2005, 98, 245.
- (154) Kim, H. Y.; Kang, K. S.; Yamabe, N.; Nagai, R.; Yokozawa, T. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 8491.
- (155) Liu, Z.; Wang, L.-J.; Li, X.; Hu, J.-N.; Chen, Y.; Ruan, C.-C.; Sun, G.-Z. Phytother. Res. 2009, 23, 1426.
- (156) Cho, W. C. S.; Chung, W.-S.; Lee, S. K. W.; Leung, A. W. N.; Cheng, C. H. K.; Yue, K. K. M. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2006, 550, 173.
- (157) Cho, W. C. S.; Yip, T.-T.; Chung, W.-S.; Lee, S. K. W.; Leung, A. W. N.; Cheng, C. H. K.; Yue, K. K. M. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2006, 108, 272.
- (158) Banz, W. J.; Iqbal, M. J.; Bollaert, M.; Chickris, N.; James, B.; Higginbotham, D. A.; Peterson, R.; Murphy, L. Phytomedicine 2007, 14, 681.
- (159) Dey, L.; Xie, J. T.; Wang, A.; Wu, J.; Maleckar, S. A.; Yuan, C.- S. Phytomedicine 2003, 10, 600.
- (160) Xie, J.-T.; Wang, C.-Z.; Li, X.-L.; Ni, M.; Fishbein, A.; Yuan, C.- S. Fitoterapia 2009, 80, 306.
- (161) Lee, M.-S.; Hwang, J.-T.; Kim, S.-H.; Yoon, S.; Kim, M.-S.; Yang, H. J.; Kwon, D. Y. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2010, 127, 771.
- (162) Gum, S. I.; Jo, S. J.; Ahn, S. H.; Kim, S. G.; Kim, J.-T.; Shin, H. M.; Cho, M. K. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2007, 112, 568.
- (163) Simeonova, R. L.; Vitcheva, V. B.; Kondeva-Burdina, M. S.; Krasteva, I. N.; Nikolov, S. D.; Mitcheva, M. K. Phytomedicine 2010, 17, 346.
- (164) Liu, G.; Wang, B.; Zhang, J.; Jiang, H.; Liu, F. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2009, 126, 350.
- (165) Liu, W.; Zheng, Y.; Han, L.; Wang, H.; Saito, M.; Ling, M.; Kimura, Y.; Feng, Y. Phytomedicine 2008, 15, 1140.
- (166) Lee, H.-C.; Hwang, S.-G.; Lee, Y.-G.; Sohn, H.-O.; Lee, D.-W.; Hwang, S.-Y.; Kwak, Y.-S.; Wee, J.-J.; Joo, W.-H.; Cho, Y.-K.; Moon, J.-
- Y. Life Sci. 2002, 71, 759.
- (167) Bae, J. W.; Lee, M. H. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2004, 91, 137.
- (168) Lee, S.-R.; Kim, M.-R.; Yon, J.-M.; Baek, I.-J.; Park, C. G.; Lee, B. J.; Yun, Y. W.; Nam, S.-Y. Toxicol. in Vitro 2009, 23, 47.
- (169) Wang, X.; Su, M.; Qiu, Y.; Ni, Y.; Zhao, T.; Zhou, M.; Zhao, A.; Yang, S.; Zhao, L.; Jia, W. J. Proteome Res. 2007, 6, 3449.
- (170) Song, X.; Chen, J.; Sakwiwatkul, K.; Li, R.; Hu, S. Int. Immunopharmacol. 2010, 10, 351.
- (171) Gillis, C. N. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1997, 54, 1.
- (172) Radad, K.; Gille, G.; Liu, L.; Rausch, W.-D. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 2006, 100, 175.
- (173) Tamaoki, J.; Nakata, J.; Kawatani, K.; Tagaya, E.; Nagai, A. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2000, 130, 1859.
- (174) Toda, N.; Ayajiki, K.; Fujioka, H.; Okamura, T. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2001, 76, 109.
- (175) Naval, M. V.; Gomez-Serranillos, M. P.; Carretero, M. E.; ́ Villar, A. M. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2007, 112, 262.
- (176) Kang, K. S.; Yokozawa, T.; Kim, H. Y.; Park, J. H. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 2558.
- (177) Mannaa, F.; Abdel-Wahhab, M. A.; Ahmed, H. H.; Park, M. H. J. Appl. Toxicol. 2006, 26, 198.
- (178) Luo, F.-C.; Wang, S.-D.; Li, K.; Nakamura, H.; Yodoi, J.; Bai, J. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2010, 127, 419.
- (179) Zhao, H.; Li, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Pei, X.; Wang, J.; Li, Y. Brain Res. 2009, 1256, 111.
- (180) Liao, B.; Newmark, H.; Zhou, R. Exp. Neurol. 2002, 173, 224. (181) Radad, K.; Gille, G.; Moldzio, R.; Saito, H.; Rausch, W.-D. Brain Res. 2004, 1021, 41.
- (182) Liu, J. W.; Tian, S. J.; de Barry, J.; Luu, B. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1329.
- (183) Lim, J.-H.; Wen, T.-C.; Matsuda, S.; Tanaka, J.; Maeda, N.; Peng, H.; Aburaya, J.; Ishihara, K.; Sakanaka, M. Neurosci. Res. 1997, 28, 191.
- (184) Chen, X.-C.; Zhu, Y.-G.; Zhu, L.-A.; Huang, C.; Chen, Y.; Chen, L.-M.; Fang, F.; Zhou, Y.-C.; Zhao, C.-H. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2003, 473, 1.
- (185) Zhang, G.; Liu, A.; Zhou, Y.; San, X.; Jin, T.; Jin, Y. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2008, 115, 441.
- (186) Li, N.; Liu, B.; Dluzen, D. E.; Jin, Y. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2007, 111, 458.
- (187) Kang, Y. J.; Sohn, J.-T.; Chang, K. C. Life Sci. 2005, 77, 74.
- (188) Tian, J.; Zhang, S.; Li, G.; Liu, Z.; Xu, B. Phytother. Res. 2009, 23, 486.
- (189) Tian, J.; Fu, F.; Geng, M.; Jiang, Y.; Yang, J.; Jiang, W.; Wang, C.; Liu, K. Neurosci. Lett. 2005, 374, 92.
- (190) Cheng, Y.; Shen, L.-H.; Zhang, J.-T. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 2005, 26, 143.
- (191) Kim, S.; Ahn, K.; Oh, T. H.; Nah, S.-Y.; Rhim, H. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2002, 296, 247.
- (192) Kim, S.; Kim, T.; Ahn, K.; Park, W.-K.; Nah, S.-Y.; Rhim, H. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2004, 323, 416.
- (193) Kim, J.-H.; Cho, S. Y.; Lee, J.-H.; Jeong, S. M.; Yoon, I.-S.; Lee, B.-H.; Lee, J.-H.; Pyo, M. K.; Lee, S.-M.; Chung, J.-M.; Kim, S.; Rhim,
- H.; Oh, J.-W.; Nah, S.-Y. Brain Res. 2007, 1136, 190.
- (194) Kuo, Y.-H.; Ikegami, F.; Lambein, F. Phytochemistry 2003, 62, 1087.
- (195) Rattan, S. I. S. Free Radical Res. 2006, 40, 1230.
- (196) Nakabeppu, Y.; Sakumi, K.; Sakamoto, K.; Tsuchimoto, D.; Tsuzuki, T.; Nakatsu, Y. Biol. Chem. 2006, 387, 373.
- (197) Valko, M.; Izakovic, M.; Mazur, M.; Rhodes, C.; Telser, J. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 2004, 266, 37.
- (198) Imlay, J. A. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2003, 57, 395.
- (199) Valko, M.; Leibfritz, D.; Moncol, J.; Cronin, M.; Mazur, M.; Telser, J. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 2007, 39, 44.
- (200) Vertuani, S.; Angusti, A.; Manfredini, S. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2004, 10, 1677.
- (201) Hail, N.; Cortes, M.; Drake, E. N.; Spallholz, J. E. Free Radical Biol. Med. 2008, 45, 97.
- (202) Chen, X.; Liu, H.; Lei, X.; Fu, Z.; Li, Y.; Tao, L.; Han, R. J. Ethnopharmacol. 1998, 60, 71.
- (203) Zhang, D.; Yasuda, T.; Yu, Y.; Zheng, P.; Kawabata, T.; Ma, Y.; Okada, S. Free Radical Biol. Med. 1996, 20, 145.
- (204) Kim, Y. K.; Guo, Q.; Packer, L. Toxicology 2002, 172, 149.
- (205) Kitts, D. D.; Wijewickreme, A. N.; Hu, C. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 2000, 203, 1.
- (206) Hu, C.; Kitts, D. D. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 2001, 78, 249.
- (207) Shao, Z.-H.; Xie, J.-T.; Hoek, T. L. V.; Mehendale, S.; Aung,
- H.; Li, C.-Q.; Qin, Y.; Schumacker, P. T.; Becker, L. B.; Yuan, C.-S. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2004, 1670, 165.
- (208) Mehendale, S. R.; Wang, C.-Z.; Shao, Z.-H.; Li, C.-Q.; Xie, J.- T.; Aung, H. H.; Yuan, C.-S. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2006, 553, 209.
- (209) Xie, J.-T.; Shao, Z.-H.; Hoek, T. L. V.; Chang, W.-T.; Li, J.; Mehendale, S.; Wang, C.-Z.; Hsu, C.-W.; Becker, L. B.; Yin, J.-J.; Yuan, C.-S. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2006, 532, 201.

Chemical Reviews Reviews Review Review

- (210) Zhao, G.-R.; Xiang, Z.-J.; Ye, T.-X.; Yuan, Y.-J.; Guo, Z.-X. Food Chem. 2006, 99, 767.
- (211) Kang, K. S.; Yamabe, N.; Kim, H. Y.; Okamoto, T.; Sei, Y.; Yokozawa, T. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2007, 113, 225.
- (212) Ng, T. B.; Liu, F.; Wang, H. X. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2004, 93, 285.
- (213) Jung, C.-H.; Seog, H.-M.; Choi, I.-W.; Cho, H.-Y. Food Chem. 2005, 92, 535.
- (214) Norajit, K.; Kim, K. M.; Ryu, G. H. J. Food Eng. 2010, 98, 377.
- (215) Luo, D.; Fang, B. Carbohydr. Polym. 2008, 72, 376.
- (216) Kang, K. S.; Kim, H. Y.; Yamabe, N.; Yokozawa, T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 5028.
- (217) Lee, Y. J.; Kim, H. Y.; Kang, K. S.; Lee, J. G.; Yokozawa, T.; Park, J. H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 4515.
- (218) Liu, Z.-Q.; Luo, X.-Y.; Sun, Y.-X.; Chen, Y.-P.; Wang, Z.-C. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2002, 1572, 58.
- (219) Liu, Z.-Q.; Luo, X.-Y.; Liu, G.-Z.; Chen, Y.-P.; Wang, Z.-C.; Sun, Y.-X. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003, 51, 2555.
- (220) Ali, M. B.; Hahn, E. J.; Paek, K.-Y. Biochem. Eng. J. 2006, 32, 143.
- (221) Wu, C. H.; Popova, E. V.; Hahn, E. J.; Paek, K. Y. Biochem. Eng. J. 2009, 47, 109.
- (222) Ali, M. B.; Thanh, N. T.; Yu, K.-W.; Hahn, E.-J.; Paek, K.-Y.; Lee, H. L. Plant Sci. 2005, 169, 833.
- (223) Ali, M. B.; Singh, N.; Shohael, A. M.; Hahn, E. J.; Paek, K.-Y. Plant Sci. 2006, 171, 147.
- (224) Lee, T.-K.; Johnke, R. M.; Allison, R. R.; O'Brien, K. F.; Dobbs, L. J. Jr. Mutagenesis 2005, 20, 237.
- (225) Jung, M. Y.; Jeon, B. S.; Bock, J. Y. Food Chem. 2002, 79, 105. (226) Bowry, V. W.; Stocker, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6029.
- (227) Sato, Y.; Kamo, S.; Takahashi, T.; Suzuki, Y. Biochemistry 1995, 34, 8940.
- (228) Niki, E.; Komuro, E.; Takahashi, M.; Urano, S.; Ito, E.; Terao, K. J. Biol. Chem. 1988, 263, 19809.
- (229) van Breemen, R. B.; Fong, H. H. S.; Farnsworth, N. R. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2007, 20, 577.